

FOUNDATIONS OF QUANTUM MECHANICS: ASSIGNMENT 7

Exercise 26: Essay question (20 points)

Explain why the interference pattern in the double-slit experiment disappears if a detector measures through which slit the electron went, even if we ignore the outcome of the detection. Derive this fact from the projection postulate, using the observable P_B defined by $P_B\psi(\mathbf{x}) = 1_B(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x})$ as a model of a detector, where B is a suitable neighborhood of one slit. Explain why the pattern on the screen must be the same as if one slit were closed in each run, each slit in 50% of the runs. (Use formulas where appropriate.)

Exercise 27: Projections (30 points)

We defined a projection to be an operator P such that there is an ONB $\{\phi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ diagonalizing P , $P\phi_n = \lambda_n\phi_n$, with eigenvalues λ_n that are 0 or 1.

- (a) Show that the projections are exactly the self-adjoint operators P with $P^2 = P$.
- (b) Suppose that $P : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a projection with range \mathcal{K} ; one says that P is the projection to \mathcal{K} . Show that $I - P$ is the projection to the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{K} , i.e., to $\mathcal{K}^\perp = \{\phi \in \mathcal{H} : \langle \phi | \psi \rangle = 0 \forall \psi \in \mathcal{K}\}$.
- (c) Suppose that P is the projection to \mathcal{K} . Show that the element in \mathcal{K} closest to a given vector $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ is $P\psi$.

Exercise 28: Iterated Stern-Gerlach experiment (20 points)

Consider the following experiment on a single electron. Suppose it has a wave function of the product form $\psi_s(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_s \chi(\mathbf{x})$, and we focus only on the spinor. The initial spinor is $\phi = (1, 0)$.

- (a) A Stern–Gerlach experiment in the y -direction (or σ_2 -measurement) is carried out, then a Stern–Gerlach experiment in the z -direction (or σ_3 -measurement). Both measurements taken together have four possible outcomes: up-up, up-down, down-up, down-down. Find the probabilities of the four outcomes.
- (b) As in (a), but now the z -experiment comes first and the y -experiment afterwards.

Please turn over.

Exercise 29: Lie algebras of $SO(3)$ and $SU(2)$ (30 points. Level: difficult)

A *Lie group* G , named after Sophus Lie (1842–1899), is a group that is also a manifold (a curved surface) such that the group multiplication and inversion are smooth mappings. Examples of Lie groups include $GL(n)$, $SO(n)$, $U(n)$, $SU(n)$. The elements infinitesimally close to 1 in G form the *Lie algebra* \mathfrak{g} of G ; more precisely, \mathfrak{g} is the tangent space of 1, which is here the set

$$\left\{ \frac{dA}{dt}(t=0) \mid A : (-1, 1) \rightarrow G \text{ smooth, } A(0) = 1 \right\}.$$

(a) Determine the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ as subspaces of the space of all real 3×3 (complex 2×2) matrices.

(b) The *exponential mapping* $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ can be heuristically understood as follows: For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, a corresponding group element infinitesimally close to 1 can be written as $1 + X/n$ with n a large natural number (so $1/n$ serves as an infinitesimal dt). Hence, roughly speaking, $(1 + X/n) \in G$, hence $(1 + X/n)^n \in G$; take the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain $\exp(X) =: e^X$. Verify that the matrix exponential (defined by the exponential series) actually maps $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ to $SO(3)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ to $SU(2)$. (Hint: diagonalize $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.)

(c) We now consider the question what the group multiplication of e^X and e^Y looks like for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. We know that the solution Z of $e^Z = e^X e^Y$ is $Z = X + Y$ if X and Y commute, but not in general. A version of the *Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula* says that

$$\text{the solution of } e^Z = e^{-tX} e^{-tY} e^{t(X+Y)} \text{ is } Z = \frac{1}{2}t^2[X, Y] + \mathcal{O}(t^3)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, with $[X, Y] = XY - YX$ the *commutator* or *Lie bracket*. The Lie bracket is an operation on \mathfrak{g} that encodes how the group multiplication deviates from addition in \mathfrak{g} . Thus, one defines a *Lie algebra* in general as a vector space together with a bracket $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ that is anti-symmetric, bilinear, and satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$[[X, Y], Z] + [[Y, Z], X] + [[Z, X], Y] = 0.$$

Verify that $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ (with commutators as Lie brackets) are isomorphic Lie algebras. (Hint: The Pauli matrices have something to do with rotations about the x -, y -, and z -axis.)

Hand in: by Tuesday December 5, 2023, 8:15am

Reading assignment due Thursday December 7, 2023: A. Einstein, *Reply to Criticisms*, pages 665–688 in P. Schilpp (editor): *Albert Einstein, Philosopher–Scientist* (1949). Read pages 665–672 and the first quarter of 673.