

## 8 Base extensions

Up to this point, we were discussing modules over a given commutative ring and their tensor products. For a complete picture, we need to understand what happens, when we vary the underlying rings.

**8.1 Remark.** Let  $(R, +_R, \cdot_R)$  and  $(S, +_S, \cdot_S)$  be commutative rings with multiplicative units. Let  $j : R \rightarrow S$  be a homomorphism of commutative rings with units, that is, we have  $j(1_R) = 1_S$ . We define an operation of  $R$  on  $S$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda : R \times S &\rightarrow S \\ (r, s) &\mapsto r \cdot_\lambda s := j(r) \cdot_S s. \end{aligned}$$

**8.2 Lemma.** *The triple  $(S, +_S, \lambda)$  from remark 8.1 is an  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* Straightforward, by direct verification of the axioms. □

**8.3 Definition.** Let  $j : R \rightarrow S$  be a homomorphism of commutative rings with units. Let  $(M, +, \cdot)$  be an  $R$ -module. Then the tensor product

$$M_S := S \otimes_R M$$

is called the *extension of  $M$  with respect to  $j$* .

**8.4 Remark.** By lemma 8.2, we view  $S$  as an  $R$ -module. The extension of  $M$  is a tensor product of  $R$ -modules. Hence it has the structure of an  $R$ -module, which for the moment shall be denoted by  $(M_S, +_\otimes, \cdot_\otimes)$ . (Don't worry, once we have established the formal setup, we will omit the subscripts.) Now we define an operation of  $S$  on  $M_S$  on generators  $t = a \otimes m \in M_S$ , with  $a \in S$  and  $m \in M$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda : S \times M_S &\rightarrow M_S \\ (s, t) &\mapsto s \cdot_\Lambda t := (s \cdot_S a) \otimes m. \end{aligned}$$

**8.5 Lemma.** *The triple  $(M_S, +_\otimes, \Lambda)$  from remark 8.4 is an  $S$ -module.*

*Proof.* The triple  $(M_S, +_\otimes, \cdot_\otimes)$  is an  $R$ -module, so  $(M_S, +_\otimes)$  is an Abelian group. The axioms of an  $S$ -module can be verified by direct computation.

For example, let  $s, s' \in S$  and let  $t = a \otimes m \in M_S$  be a generating element, with  $a \in S$  and  $m \in M$ . Then one computes

$$\begin{aligned} (s +_S s') \cdot_\Lambda t &= ((s +_S s') \cdot_S a) \otimes m \\ &= (s \cdot_S a +_S s' \cdot_S a) \otimes m \\ &= (s \cdot_S a) \otimes m +_\otimes (s' \cdot_S a) \otimes m \\ &= s \cdot_\lambda t +_\otimes s' \cdot_\lambda t. \end{aligned}$$

This shows axiom ?? of definition ???, and the remaining axioms follow analogously.  $\square$

**8.6 Example.** Consider the inclusion map  $j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as a homomorphism of commutative rings with units. The operation  $\lambda$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  with respect to this homomorphism is just the usual multiplication of complex numbers  $x \cdot_\lambda z = j(x) \cdot_{\mathbb{C}} z := x \cdot z$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

For some  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ , consider  $V := \mathbb{R}^n$  as an  $\mathbb{R}$ -module. Then its extension with respect to  $j$  is  $V_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^n$ . We have already seen in example ?? that as an  $\mathbb{R}$ -module, this tensor product is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Obviously,  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -module, and  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -module, too, by lemma 8.5. We want to see that the two  $\mathbb{C}$ -module structures are actually “the same”. More precisely, we want to verify that the isomorphism between  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -modules.

If  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  denotes the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as  $\mathbb{R}$ -module, then a basis of  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  is given by  $\{1 \otimes e_1, i \otimes e_1, \dots, 1 \otimes e_n, i \otimes e_n\}$  by proposition ??. A basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $\{e_1, ie_1, \dots, e_n, ie_n\}$ . An isomorphism  $\psi : \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  can be defined on the basis by  $\psi(\varepsilon \otimes e_j) := \varepsilon e_j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\varepsilon \in \{1, i\}$ . Now let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . We compute for all elements of the basis of  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$

$$\psi(z \cdot_\Lambda (\varepsilon \otimes e_i)) = \psi((z\varepsilon) \otimes e_i) = z\varepsilon e_i = z \cdot_\Lambda \psi(\varepsilon \otimes e_i).$$

This shows that  $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n$  are isomorphic as  $\mathbb{C}$ -modules.

**8.7 Lemma.** Let  $j : R \rightarrow S$  be a homomorphism of commutative rings with units. Let  $\alpha : M \rightarrow M'$  be a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules. Then

$$\alpha_S := \text{id}_S \otimes \alpha : M_S \rightarrow M'_S$$

is a homomorphism of  $S$ -modules.

*Proof.* By definition,  $\alpha_S$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules. In particular, it is a group homomorphism of the underlying Abelian groups, independently whether they are considered as  $R$ -modules or as  $S$ -modules. So it only remains to show that  $\alpha_S$  respects the multiplicative structures, too.

Let  $s \in S$ , and  $t \in M_S$ . Since we already know that the additive structures are respected, we may assume without loss of generality that  $t$  is a generating element. Let  $t = a \otimes m$ , with  $a \in S$  and  $m \in M$ . Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_S(s \cdot_{\Lambda} t) &= \alpha_S((sa) \otimes m) \\ &= \text{id}_S \otimes \alpha((sa) \otimes m) \\ &= (sa) \otimes \alpha(m) \\ &= s \cdot_{\Lambda} (a \otimes \alpha(m)) \\ &= s \cdot_{\Lambda} (\text{id}_S \otimes \alpha(a \otimes m)) \\ &= s \cdot_{\Lambda} \alpha_S(t). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the map  $\alpha_S$  is indeed  $S$ -linear. □

**8.8 Remark.** Let  $j : R \rightarrow S$  be a homomorphism of commutative rings with units. There is an *extension functor*

$$\begin{aligned} S \otimes_R \bullet : (R\text{-Mod}) &\rightarrow (S\text{-Mod}) \\ M &\mapsto M_S \\ M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M' &\mapsto M_S \xrightarrow{\alpha_S} M'_S \end{aligned}$$

**8.9 Example.** A major motivation for dealing with tensor products is the fact that extensions provide a useful tool for dealing with torsion.

Consider a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M$ . In general, the module  $M$  is *not torsion free*, i.e. for the torsion submodule of  $M$

$$T(M) := \{m \in M : \exists a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \text{ such that } am = 0\}$$

holds  $T(M) \neq \{0\}$ . We claim that the extension  $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is always torsion free. Indeed,  $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space by lemma 8.5. Since any vector space admits a basis, it is in particular a free  $\mathbb{Q}$ -module. For any free  $\mathbb{Q}$ -module holds  $T(M_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \{0\}$ , compare exercise ??.

For example, let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ , and consider the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M := \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the extension of  $M$  is given by  $M_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathbb{Q}$ .

**8.10 Lemma.** *Let  $j : R \rightarrow S$  and  $j' : S \rightarrow T$  be homomorphisms of rings with units. In particular,  $S$  is an  $R$ -module via  $j$ , and  $T$  is an  $S$ -module via  $j'$ , as well as an  $R$ -module via the composition  $j' \circ j$ . Then for all  $R$ -modules  $M$  there is a natural isomorphism of  $T$ -modules*

$$M_T \cong (M_S)_T.$$

*Proof.* The proof of the claim is straightforward and left as an exercise to the reader.  $\square$