

## 7 Tensors and free modules

Throughout this section let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  always be a commutative ring with a multiplicative identity element.

**7.1 Lemma.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $R$ -modules. Let  $M$  be a free  $R$ -module with basis  $E = \{e_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq M$ , and let  $e_1, \dots, e_k \in E$  be pairwise different elements for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ . Let  $n_1, \dots, n_k \in N$  be elements such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k e_i \otimes n_i = 0$  in  $M \otimes_R N$ . Then  $n_1 = \dots = n_k = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $i \in I$ , the  $i$ -th coordinate map

$$\begin{aligned} p_i : M &\rightarrow R \\ m = \sum_{i \in I} r_i e_i &\mapsto r_i \end{aligned}$$

is a well-defined  $R$ -linear map. The map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i : M \times N &\rightarrow N \\ (n, m) &\mapsto p_i(m) \cdot n \end{aligned}$$

is bilinear. Hence, by the universal property of the tensor product, there exists a unique  $R$ -linear map  $\tilde{\varphi}_i : M \otimes N \rightarrow N$  such that for all  $(m, n) \in M \times N$  holds  $\tilde{\varphi}_i(m \otimes n) = p_i(m) \cdot n$ . In particular, for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \subseteq I$  we compute

$$0 = \tilde{\varphi}_i(0) = \tilde{\varphi}_i\left(\sum_{j=1}^k m_j \otimes n_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{\varphi}_i(m_j \otimes n_j) = \sum_{j=1}^k p_i(m_j) \cdot n_j = n_i$$

as claimed.  $\square$

**7.2 Proposition.** *Let  $M$  be a free  $R$ -module with basis  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ . Let  $N$  be an  $R$ -module. Then for any  $t \in M \otimes N$  there exists a unique family  $(n_i)_{i \in I}$  with  $|\{i \in I : n_i \neq 0\}| < \infty$  such that*

$$t = \sum_{i \in I} e_i \otimes n_i.$$

*Proof.* The existence of such a family follows since for the tensor product  $\tau : M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes N$  holds

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im}(\tau) &= \text{span}_R\{\tau(M \times N)\} \\ &= \text{span}_R\{m \otimes n : m \in M, n \in N\} \\ &= \text{span}_R\{\sum_{i \in I} r_i e_i \otimes n : \sum_{i \in I} r_i e_i \in M, n \in N\}. \end{aligned}$$

The uniqueness follows from lemma 7.1.  $\square$

**7.3 Corollary.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be free  $R$ -modules with bases  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $(f_j)_{j \in J}$ , respectively. Then  $(e_i \otimes f_j)_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$  is a basis of  $M \otimes_R N$ . Moreover, if  $M$  and  $N$  are finitely generated and free, then*

$$\text{rank}(M \otimes_R N) = \text{rank}(M) \cdot \text{rank}(N).$$

*In particular, if  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is a field, then  $\dim(M \otimes_R N) = \dim(M) \cdot \dim(N)$ .*

*Proof.* Straightforward. □

**7.4 Example.** Consider  $M = N := \mathbb{C}$  as a free module (i.e. vector space) over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Clearly, an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{C}$  is given by  $\{1, i\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Thus

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes i, i \otimes 1, i \otimes i\},$$

and  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) = 4$ .

**7.5 Example.** Consider  $M := \mathbb{R}$  and  $N := \mathbb{C}$  as free modules over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the inclusion map, and let  $\beta : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  denote complex conjugation. Note that  $\beta$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear.

On the basis  $\{1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes i\} \subset \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  we compute for the induced map  $\alpha \otimes \beta(1 \otimes i) = \alpha(1) \otimes \beta(i) = 1 \otimes (-i) = -1 \otimes i$ , as well as  $\alpha \otimes \beta(1 \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes 1$ . Using the isomorphism given by the choice of the basis  $\{1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes i, i \otimes 1, i \otimes i\} \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  as in example 7.4, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes \beta} & \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathbb{R}^2 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_A} & \mathbb{R}^4 \end{array}$$

where the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map  $\varphi_A : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  is represented with respect to the standard bases by the matrix

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**7.6 Lemma.** *Let  $M$  be a free  $R$ -modules with basis  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  for some index set  $I$ . Let  $N$  be an  $R$ -module, and let  $\{n_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq N$  be a family of elements indexed by  $I$ , too. Then there exists a unique homomorphism of  $R$ -modules  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  such that for all  $i \in I$  holds  $\varphi(e_i) = n_i$ .*

*Proof.* Under the given assumptions, we construct a homomorphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  as follows. Let  $m \in M$ . Since  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a basis of  $M$ , there exists a unique family  $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $R$ , with  $|\{i \in I : a_i \neq 0\}| < \infty$ , such that  $m = \sum_{i \in I} a_i e_i$ . We define  $\varphi(m) := \sum_{i \in I} a_i n_i \in N$ . It is easy to see that  $\varphi$  is  $R$ -linear, and satisfies  $\varphi(e_i) = n_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

Let  $\psi : M \rightarrow N$  be another homomorphism such that  $\psi(e_i) = n_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Then for any  $m = \sum_{i \in I} a_i e_i \in M$ , using the  $R$ -linearity of both  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$ , we compute  $\psi(m) = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \psi(e_i) = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \varphi(e_i) = \varphi(m)$ .  $\square$

The above lemma 7.6 states, that for a free module, a homomorphism can be uniquely defined by just specifying the images of the elements of a basis. We will frequently make use of this fact.

**7.7 Lemma.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be free  $R$ -modules with bases  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ , respectively. Let  $M$  be of finite rank. Then  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  is a free  $R$ -module with basis  $\{\varepsilon_{e_i, f_j}\}_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$ , where for  $(i, j) \in I \times J$  the homomorphism  $\varepsilon_{e_i, f_j}$  is defined on the basis of  $M$  by*

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{e_i, f_j} : M &\rightarrow N \\ e_k &\mapsto \begin{cases} f_j, & \text{if } k = i \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq i. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By lemma 7.6, the homomorphisms  $\varepsilon_{e_i, f_j}$  are well-defined by defining them on a basis.

Let  $\alpha : M \rightarrow N$  be a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules. Since  $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a basis of  $N$ , there exist for all  $k \in I$  families  $\{a_j^k\}_{j \in J}$  in  $R$  with  $|\{j \in J : a_j^k \neq 0\}| < \infty$ , such that  $\alpha(e_k) = \sum_{j \in J} a_j^k f_j$ . From the definition, we obtain  $f_j = \varepsilon_{e_i, f_j}(e_k)$ , if and only if  $i = k$ , and thus

$$a_j^k f_j = \varepsilon_{e_k, f_j}(a_j^k e_k) = \sum_{i \in I} \varepsilon_{e_i, f_j}(a_j^k e_k) = \sum_{i \in I} a_j^i \varepsilon_{e_i, f_j}(e_k).$$

Therefore  $\alpha(e_k) = \sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} a_j^i \varepsilon_{e_i, f_j}(e_k)$ . Again by lemma 7.6 this implies  $\alpha = \sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} a_j^i \varepsilon_{e_i, f_j}$ . Note that this sum is indeed finite, by the choice of the families  $\{a_j^k\}_{j \in J}$ , together with the fact that  $|I| < \infty$ . This shows that  $\{\varepsilon_{e_i, f_j}\}_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$  is a generating subset for  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ .

To see that the family  $\{\varepsilon_{e_i, f_j}\}_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$  is  $R$ -linearly independent, consider a family  $\{a_j^i\}_{j \in J}$  in  $R$  with  $|\{(i, j) \in I \times J : a_j^i \neq 0\}| < \infty$ , such that  $\alpha := \sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} a_j^i \varepsilon_{e_i, f_j} = 0$ . In particular, for all  $i \in I$  we compute

$$0 = \alpha(e_i) = \sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} a_j^i \varepsilon_{e_i, f_j}(e_i) = \sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} a_j^i f_j.$$

Since the basis  $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$  is  $R$ -linearly independent, we must have  $a_j^i = 0$  for all  $j \in J$ .  $\square$

**7.8 Remark.** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be free  $R$ -modules of finite ranks  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_{<0}$  with bases  $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  and  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ , respectively. Then, analogously to the theory of vector spaces, we may use lemma 7.7 to identify homomorphisms  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  with matrices  $A_\alpha \in \text{Mat}(m, n, R)$ . Using the notation from the proof of 7.7, an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A : \text{Hom}_R(M, N) &\rightarrow \text{Mat}(m, n, R) \\ \alpha &\mapsto A_\alpha := (a_j^i)_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $a_j^i \in R$  has been defined as the  $j$ -th coordinate of the image of the  $i$ -th basis vector  $\alpha(e_i)$ .

With respect to this identification, the homomorphisms  $\varepsilon_{e_i, f_j}$  correspond precisely to the elementary matrices  $E_i^j$ , where all entries are 0, except the entry in the  $i$ -th column and  $j$ -th line, which equals 1. Obviously, these matrices form a basis of  $\text{Mat}(m, n, R)$ .

**7.9 Remark.** In general, the claim of lemma 7.7 is not true, if the  $R$ -module  $M$  is not of finite rank. There exist examples of free modules of infinite rank, where the dual module is not free, see [?, II, §2.6].

**7.10 Proposition.** *Let  $M, M', N$  and  $N'$  be free  $R$ -modules of finite ranks. Then there is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules*

$$\tilde{T} : \text{Hom}_R(M, M') \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(N, N') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, M' \otimes_R N')$$

such that for all  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(M, M')$ ,  $\beta \in \text{Hom}_R(N, N')$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $n \in N$  holds

$$\tilde{T}(\alpha \otimes \beta)(m \otimes n) = \alpha(m) \otimes \beta(n).$$

*Proof.* Recall that there is a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} T : \text{Hom}_R(M, M') \times \text{Hom}_R(N, N') &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, M' \otimes_R N') \\ (\alpha, \beta) &\mapsto \alpha \otimes \beta := \alpha \otimes \text{id}_{N'} \circ \text{id}_M \otimes \beta \end{aligned}$$

which is easily seen to be bilinear. Hence the  $R$ -linear map  $\tilde{T}$  exists as claimed.

Consider bases  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ ,  $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ ,  $\{e'_k\}_{k \in K}$  and  $\{f'_\ell\}_{\ell \in L}$  of  $M$ ,  $M'$ ,  $N$  and  $N'$ , respectively. By lemma 7.7, they determine a basis  $\{\varepsilon_{e_i, f_j}\}_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$  of  $\text{Hom}_R(M, M')$ , and a basis  $\{\varepsilon_{e'_k, f'_\ell}\}_{(k,\ell) \in K \times L}$  of  $\text{Hom}_R(N, N')$ . Hence by corollary 7.3, a basis of  $\text{Hom}_R(M, M') \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(N, N')$  is given by  $\{\varepsilon_{e_i, f_j} \otimes \varepsilon_{e'_k, f'_\ell}\}_{(i,j,k,\ell) \in I \times J \times K \times L}$ .

On the other hand, again using corollary 7.3, we have bases  $\{e_i \otimes e'_k\}_{(i,k) \in I \times K}$  of  $M \otimes_R N$  and  $\{f_j \otimes f'_\ell\}_{(j,\ell) \in J \times L}$  of  $M' \otimes_R N'$ . By 7.7, they give a basis  $\{\varepsilon_{e_i \otimes e'_k, f_j \otimes f'_\ell}\}_{(i,j,k,\ell) \in I \times J \times K \times L}$  of  $\text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, M' \otimes_R N')$ .

Let an index tuple  $(i, j, k, \ell) \in I \times J \times K \times L$  be given. Consider an element  $e_s \otimes e'_t$  of the basis of  $M \otimes_R N$ , for some  $s \in I$  and  $t \in K$ . We compute

$$\tilde{T}(\varepsilon_{e_i, f_j} \otimes \varepsilon_{e'_k, f'_\ell})(e_s \otimes e'_t) = \varepsilon_{e_i, f_j}(e_s) \otimes \varepsilon_{e'_k, f'_\ell}(e'_t) = \begin{cases} f_j \otimes f'_\ell, & \text{if } s = i, t = k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By definition, we have

$$\varepsilon_{e_i \otimes e'_k, f_j \otimes f'_\ell}(e_s \otimes e'_t) = \begin{cases} f_j \otimes f'_\ell, & \text{if } s = i, t = k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus the two homomorphisms agree on a basis, and hence we have an identity  $\tilde{T}(\varepsilon_{e_i, f_j} \otimes \varepsilon_{e'_k, f'_\ell}) = \varepsilon_{e_i \otimes e'_k, f_j \otimes f'_\ell}$ . In particular, the homomorphism  $\tilde{T}$  is surjective. Moreover, since  $\tilde{T}$  is bijectively mapping a basis to a basis, it is also injective, and thus an isomorphism as claimed.  $\square$

**7.11 Corollary.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be free  $R$ -modules of finite ranks. Then there are isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} a) \quad M^* \otimes_R N &\cong \text{Hom}_R(M, N); \\ b) \quad (M \otimes_R N)^* &\cong M^* \otimes_R N^*. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Note that for any  $R$ -module  $M$ , there is a canonical isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(R, M) \cong M$ . Using this, together with ??, we compute immediately from proposition 7.10

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(M, N) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R R, R \otimes_R N) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(M, R) \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(R, N) \\ &\cong M^* \otimes_R N \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} (M \otimes_R N)^* &= \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, R) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, R \otimes_R R) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(M, R) \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(N, R) \\ &= M^* \otimes_R N^*. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claims.  $\square$

**7.12 Corollary.** *Let  $M, N$  and  $L$  be free  $R$ -modules of finite ranks. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N \otimes_R L) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes_R L.$$

*Proof.* We obtain  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N \otimes_R L) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R R, N \otimes_R L) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes_R \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, L) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes_R L$  directly from proposition 7.10.  $\square$

**7.13 Proposition.** *Let  $M, M', N$  and  $N'$  be free  $R$ -modules of finite ranks. Let  $\alpha : M \rightarrow M'$  and  $\beta : N \rightarrow N'$  be both injective homomorphisms of  $R$ -modules. Then  $\alpha \otimes \beta : M \otimes_R N \rightarrow M' \otimes_R N'$  is injective, too.*

*Proof.* Recall from ?? the identity  $\alpha \otimes \beta = \alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}_{N'} \circ \mathrm{id}_M \otimes \beta$ . We will only show that  $\mathrm{id}_M \otimes \beta$  is injective, if  $\beta$  is injective. The proof for  $\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}_{N'}$  is completely analogous, and taken together this implies the injectivity of  $\alpha \otimes \beta$ .

Let  $t \in \ker(\mathrm{id}_M \otimes \beta)$ . The modules  $M$  and  $N$  are free, so there exist bases  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$ , respectively. Thus there is a unique family  $\{r_{ij}\}_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$  in  $R$ , such that  $t = \sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} r_{ij} e_i \otimes f_j$ . We compute

$$0 = \mathrm{id}_M \otimes \beta(t) = \mathrm{id}_M \otimes \beta\left(\sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} r_{ij} e_i \otimes f_j\right) = \sum_{(i,j) \in I \times J} e_i \otimes \beta(r_{ij} f_j).$$

For all  $i \in I$ , lemma 7.1 now implies  $\beta(\sum_{j \in J} r_{ij} f_j) = 0$ . Since  $\beta$  is injective by assumption, we must have  $\sum_{j \in J} r_{ij} f_j = 0$ . But  $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a basis, so we obtain  $r_{ij} = 0$  for all  $(i, j) \in I \times J$ . Therefore  $t = 0$ .  $\square$

**7.14 Remark.** We have seen in ?? that for a given  $R$ -module  $M$ , the functor  $M \otimes_R \bullet : (R\text{-Mod}) \rightarrow (R\text{-Mod})$  is right-exact. The functor is left-exact, if the module  $M$  is free.